CLAUSE 9.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The question is :

" That clause 9 stand part of the Bill."

The motion was adopted.

Clause 9 was added to the Bill.

CLAUSE 1, the title and the Preamble

Mr. SPEAKER.—The question is :

" That clause 1, the Title and the Preamble stand part of the Bill."

The motion was adopted.

Clause 1, the Title and the Preamble were added to the Bill.

Motion to pass.

Sri SIDDAIAH KASHIMATH (Shirahatti).—I beg to move :

" That the Mysore Legislature Salaries (Amendment) Bill, 1967, as amended, be passed."

Mr. SPEAKER.—The question is :

" That the Mysore Legislature Salaries (Amendment) Bill, 1967, as amended, be passed."

The motion was adopted.

SPEAKER'S RULING *Re*: GIVING RETROSPECTIVE EFFECT TO THE AMENDING BILLS.

Mr. SPEAKER.—A point was raised by the Hon'ble Member, Sri Ranganath that the amendment, if passed by the House, will entail payment to the Members as from the date of their respective elections. This is at variance with what is indicated in the Financial Memorandum and the Schedule annexed to the Financial Memorandum. This is a point which does not come really into the debate. Still it would be but proper to deal with it. The amending Bill when passed gets operative force on the date when it is passed, assent is given and it is placed on the statute book. By that time the rights accruing to the Members for the respective periods leading up to that date will be precise and I have no doubt most of them would have drawn their salaries and allowances It is a principle interpretation that amending Bills have no retrospective effect. That is all the more so with regard to financial matters. Section 5 of the General clauses Act (Mysore Act III of 1899) deals with it and it is stated there that unless the contrary is expressed the Act shall be

deemed to have come into operation immediately on the expiration of the day preceding the date of commencement and the interpretation is perfectly clear. There are no words in the present Bill which say that with regard to the previous period it shall have retrospective effect and therefore I do not think that there is any such difficulty, but even if there is such a difficulty or not, I am not the person who can decide in this House. Therefore I cannot rule out any debate on that account.

———

PRIVATE MEMBERS' BILL

MYSORE MINISTERS SALARIES AND ALLOWANCES (AMENDMENT) BILL, 1967.

Motion to consider—(Debate continued).

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—Sir, may I make a suggestion or submission ? So far as the other Bill is concerned, there are a number of preliminary objections we have in view. One is given notice of by Sri L. Srikantaiah. The point is, I think, the Member in whose name this subject is listed, knows that this House will rise at 12-30 P. M. It is not possible to hustle through this Bill. This Bill requires a full-dressed debate as it were from all angles and from every party's point of view. We have a non-Congress new Government in Madhya Pradesh and they have laid a policy on this subject. In Madras State they have followed a different policy. It involves the consideration of a matter of public policy here. Therefore, I suggest that this Bill be taken up in the next Session or if the matter is of great importance, a special Session may be summoned. There are many important questions that have been held over from the Seventeenth List downwards. According to Item No. 7 of the Agenda, half-an-hour discussion is there. The time left is only one hour and there are three subjects. Afterwards, it is usual that you review the work of this Session. We have worked unto death. We have worked till Seven, Eight and Nine on some days at night. To-day is the last day. It is a day for gay ; on the other hand, we have worked. Therefore, I submit that this subject need not be moved during this Session. Even if it is moved, it is impossible to get it through. I am making a suggestion to the Hon'ble Member, in whose name this subject is listed, not to move this subject during this Session.

† ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಗೋಪಾಲ ಗೌಡ.—ಸ್ವಾಮಿ, ನಾನು ಒಂದು ಪರ್ಸನಲ್ ಎಕ್ಸ್‌ಪ್ಲನೇಷನ್ ಕೊಡಬೇಕಾಗಿದೆ. ಶ್ರೀಮಾನ್ ಕಂಠಿಯವರು ಮತ್ತು ಶ್ರೀಮಾನ್ ಕೊಠಾವಾಲೆಯವರು ವಿರೋಧ ಪಕ್ಷದವರು ಹೆಚ್ಚು ಸಂಬಳ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದಿಲ್ಲ, ಗೊಪಾಲಗೌಡರು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದಿಲ್ಲ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಆ ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ನನ್ನ ಸ್ನೇಹಿತರನೇಕರು ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಮಾಡಿದರು. ಅದಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ಹೇಳುತ್ತಿದ್ದೇನೆ. ಈ ಮಸೂದೆ ಜಾರಿಗೆ ಬಂದ ತಾರೀಖಿನಿಂದ ವಿರೋಧ ಪಕ್ಷದ ಎಲ್ಲ ಸದಸ್ಯರೂ ಮಸೂದೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಎಷ್ಟು ನಮೂದುಮಾಡಿದೆಯೋ ಅಷ್ಟು ಸಂಬಳ ಮತ್ತು ಭತ್ಯ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳತ್ತಾರೆ. ಕಾಂಗ್ರೆಸ್ಸಿನವರು ಮಾಡಿರುವ ರಾಜಕೀಯಕ್ಕೆ ಬಲಬಿದ್ದು ಇದನ್ನು ಪ್ರಾಮಾಣಿಕತೆಯ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಮಾಡಿ ಹೆಚ್ಚು ಸಂಬಳ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದಿಲ್ಲ ಎಂಬ ಮಾತು ನಿಜವಲ್ಲ. ಕೆಲವರು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುದೇ ಇರಬಹುದು, ಅದು ಬೇರೆ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ. ಆದರೆ ವಿರೋಧ ಮಾಡಿದವರು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕೇ ಬೇಡವೇ ಎಂದು ನನ್ನ ಸ್ನೇಹಿತರು ಕೇಳುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಶ್ರೀಮಾನ್ ಕೊಠಾವಾಲೆಯವರು ಬಹಳ ಕಷ್ಟಪಟ್ಟು